

Dear Js,

Hope delay in getting Post 4/24 didn't confuse you. Sent 4/24 yesterday, I think. Maybe it is enclosed. I've become confused by too many things lately, bad days. Forgot to phone newspaper ~~delivered~~ to order duplicate subscription until yesterday. So, today he forgot the local paper in leaving the Extra WxPost. I'll get the extra paper as long as the story is hot, even if there are days with little coverage. This way I can mail more promptly without special trip into town.

The clips and esp. the transcripts with your 4/22 valuable. Noting who transcribed what, it seems that STM(West) has frustrated the typical male chauvenism and gotten herself the better newscasts! Yet punk as the others are compared with Pacifica's news, you get on the net stations what I do not hear here even when I spend fair amount of time listening. The Martha/John stuff is an example. (And the check marks were duly noted and appreciated.) Even the Post didn't use wire copy vonHoffman picked up. From his 4/24: "Like Martha Mitchell saying her life is in danger, and her husband John saying he doesn't know anything about it and he's too busy to find out. What do you think his reaction ~~was~~ is when she asks him to rub her back?" (Example of ideological payola and elitist snobbery, assuming they are human and have human instincts and desires.)

AP's 21, from Exam, interview Sra. Barker: if you listen to Drury tape you ~~can~~ find out more about this Cuban Committee's successes. If Drury is correct on their successes. The organization of this thing after the end of the Creep financing is suspect, and it is obvious that the denial of such contributions is as meaningless as it is self-serving. Esp. with all those C-notes kicking around yet.

Surprised at extent and content of Chron editing Anderson column 4/17. It is anticipation of less editing that this that I've been sending you duplicates. Ditto for significant Evans-Novack 4/25.

Howard is sending NYTimes clips 1st class. Last(today's mail not yet here) Sunday's, 4/22 Not copied and sent you because nothing in it. Not even with full 1st page review section. I think that the chance of my missing something if he does is worth running to save you all the time and work of trying to fill in voids. (Nothing in this week's TIME cover story worth copying for you, far as I've read. What was new is in papers now, fully. More fully, in fact.)

Your 4/22 conjectures on GL/Rebozo are inferences I've often found suggesting themselves to me. I have a slightly different feeling, that sex means relatively little to both subhumans. Other things replace in their lives. Think you've hit BB's special talents dead center, with lucky selfservice of World War II accidental jackpot.

Ian has sent and I've not read Sales' piece in NYReview. Ian says he draws on Sundance. Research by (Gerth) former student, researcher for Peter Dale Scott.

Your gotten misc. refs. to R's marriages. Possibility of intervening one for him, if slight, remains in our checking, I think. Discount, tho.

Best thing in Caen's plugola for Hinkle-Turner "crocabalone" description Farewell.

Thanks for 4/22 compliment on predictions. HR went too far and I have the need of addressing this and will in commenting on a letter carbon I got yesterday. I think what this really means is not that I am real bright but that the press is real dull. These things are as they have been, very obvious. I regard remaining predictions as "operative".

We should be giving some thought to the oddity of GL's giving time for his investigation's beginning. It exactly coincides with McCord. However, as you note, Magruder beat McC to the blabbing. Aside from what we can assume they knew all along, there was Reisner who triggered Magruder, having made a perjurer of him before McC did. This is what sent Magruder scurrying to DJ. Without thinking through, I'm inclined to believe GL customarily devious and because in this case he was without need, there must be a reason for it.

Conjectures on Liddy's refusal to testify under WH pressure (assuming this pressure was applied) and this protects Hunt and GL. I don't think Hunt and GL in same boat now. I remain convinced that Hunt was not subordinate to Liddy, that they had different roles. I can believe that Hunt opposed stupid bugging. I have always believed Liddy was nuts, whereas Hunt is not, literally. Only politically, intellectually. If as I also assume WG was minor, foolish side expedition, the more focus is on Liddy and WG the more the unexposed and more serious is hidden, people protected by the hiding. I have no reason to doubt what

is attributed to McC since you wrote this, that the scheme was to blame the whole deal on CIA. That is also consistent with GL's reordering and giving military control. But I do not think it was directly involved. Its types were, or course, only begining with 6 of the first 7. In the last analysis, I believe it is likely that GL was running the entire operation, but without contact personally after conception. That is, done for him. And this, I think, is why he is so uptight and where the great danger for him lies. His own supporters are the only ones talking about impeachment. The liberals have rushed to protect him alleging it is in the interest of the institution only. His own understand him better than the liberals. Ian told me of this before the Star editorial, which I've not seen.

With no knowledge, merely hunch, and assuming what I do not know, that Dorothy and Michele were side-by-side, the most obvious explanation is in what McC said and what Drury told me, that Dorothy was shakey and wanted out. On impulse, having recognized Clark, she could have taken initiative. If Clark knew her, that move is obvious. They could have met, heard each other's names, on checking in at gate. Or, they didn't even have to have known each other on sight.

One possible explanation paying Segretti by Kalmbach: both based lower Calif., funds easily and most safely passed by hand. This also tended to separate Segretti from Liddy part of operation. I think Hunt handled the major end, including Segretti. This also tended to separate Hunt and Segretti, making for more safety if Segretti was caught.

Why no leaks Segretti grand jury testimony? I think greatest probability source leaks someone in Justice, perhaps high elsewhere in exec. branch. Segretti's could be the most damaging and dangerous, could transcend what has been leaked. I think we should remember that these leaks really tell us nothing. All this that we are being fed is what would be expectable in a short while from Ervin. So, the leaks defuze the thing as much as it can be and they undercut Ervin. They will make most of his stuff old hat. And Segretti, unlike McCord, can take the fifth. I think this will be the pattern. From this, although Anderson knows his source, as do Woodward, Bernstein and others, I do not go for Anderson's description of a dedicated man highly placed. It is too dangerous for that, too.

In addition to the other Segretti connection, which is separate from what he did and with whom, he goes to Hunt who goes to Colson. Have you considered what documents Colson could have had that prove his innocence? I can conceive of none with the capability. I can conceive of them being valuable against others, but not exculpating him. His denials are equivocal, limited and directly contradicted by his lawyer. Thanks for the quotes I didn't have.

In my view this remains a more elaborate and much more complex operation in all its aspects. I rather enjoy the repeated uses of the words I used in title, Whitewash and Coverup. The coverup is really a sophisticated and complicated operation. I am certain it includes all the leaks to the exposing of the jugulars and many since.

I saw no reporting of it, but the news that GL had phoned Dean and given him the 2,000% schneider was leaked by Dean via a friend before the announcement by several days. I think it will pacify Dean until too late. The letter he wrote me can crucify him, as can his non-response, after my response. These YAF types are good at dirtywork only when power is with them. Their educations do not give them native intelligence and most with such beliefs lack the intelligence. They two things are almost completely incompatible. Besides, I've had experience with some of Kleindienst's deputies when he was Deputy AG. All were essentially stupid and made stupid mistakes. Remember the letters they wrote me for his signature? You haven't seen all, but those in F-U are enough. And when they were L.P.Gray's Deputies when he succeeded KL, they went for my bait with them and had this whole thing affirmed in a court record for no reason at all. Stupid excesses.

No, I think the real hard-core shrewdies and real dirtywork experts are still in control, still manipulating as they want, with the few control strings never far from GL's hands. But I also interpret today's Laird story as sign either great cunning or real desperation. He is not original dirtyworker. He is Congressional in background and party hack, so he is some risk. Fast with his rejection, also significant and not explained by his lacking a few days for the holiday he arbitrarily set at 6 months. Mail time.

HW 4/26/73